PATENT

Filed: December 14, 2001

CASE NO.: 50L2056.01 Serial No.: 10/020,566 October 18, 2004

Page 4

Remarks

Reconsideration of the above-captioned application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-4, 6, and 8-28 (of which Claims 1, 14, and 21 are independent) have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Hedayatnia et al. (USPN 5,488,351) in view of Osborn et al. (USPN 6,119,022) and Kitami et al. (USPN 5,861,704), and Claim 5 has been rejected as being obvious over these three references plus Lo et al. (USPN 5,973,441). Claim 7 has been rejected as being obvious over the three primary references plus Hajel (USPN 5,867,105).

The rejections are all underpinned by the technical misunderstanding that Hedayatnia et al. teaches two frequencies. It does not. It teaches a single frequency with two phases, which is necessary to achieve the intended rocking motion of the cantilevers, see Abstract and col. 1, lines 40-55. On this basis, the rejections are overcome.

Further, it would be improper to modify the reference to use two frequencies, because it would appear that the use of two frequencies would interfere with each other and, hence, with the intended rocking motion of the cantilevers, rendering such a modification improper under MPEP §2143.01 (citing In re Gordon). For this further reason, the rejections are overcome.

Additionally, Kitami et al. is non-analogous art. It is not directed to alerting a user, much less a user of a telephone, much less by using vibrations. Instead, it is directed to producing high voltages using the piezoelectric principle for CRTs and copiers. Absent a showing as to why the skilled artisan in the mobile telephone alert field would look to the CRT and copier high voltage transformer art, Kitami et al. is non-analogous.

1168-142.AMD

CASE NO.: 50L2056.01 Serial No.: 10/020,566 October 18, 2004

Page 5

PATENT Filed: December 14, 2001

The fact that Applicant has focussed its comments distinguishing the present claims from the applied references and countering certain rejections must not be construed as acquiescence in other portions of rejections not specifically addressed.

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Rogitz

Registration No. 33,549

Attorney of Record 750 B Street, Suite 3120

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1168-142.AMD

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items check	ed:
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	٠.
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	•
GRY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	
□ OTHER:	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.